# EXCAVATIONS AT MOUNDVILLE 1905 - 1951

oy Christopher Spalding Peebles

David De Jamestle

David De fanostle

With the short admiration ad

To David, Tom and James DeJarnette
Their loyalty to Archaeology and to Moundville made
this volume possible.

#### PREFACE

The major archaeological research programs of the 1930's grew out of the Great Depression. War in the early 1940's ended not only these archaeological programs but also the lives of many archaeologists. As a result many of the sites excavated during this period were neither analyzed nor published; artifacts and records were stored to await better days.

Many of these stored materials subsequently were destroyed; others, either through the dedication of a few or through luck pure and simple, have been passed to the present generation intact. The Moundville materials are among those that are intact; they were conserved and maintained through the efforts of W. B. Jones, David DeJarnette and E. H. Chapman.

This volume focuses on the written notes and records left by the Moundville excavators. It is a "data" volume. It was conceived and executed as a necessary first step in the analysis of the Moundville material.

Several exemplars have guided the preparation of this volume, especially <u>The Angel Site</u> (Black 1967); <u>Spiro</u>

<u>Studies</u> (Brown 1966a, 1966b); <u>Hiwassee Island</u> (Lewis and

and Kneberg 1946); and Archaeology of the Funeral Mound,

Ocmulgee National Monument, Georgia (Fairbanks 1956).

These monographs presented significant amounts of data clearly and concisely.

All dealt with field notes which had grown "cold" through time. As at Moundville each of the excavations they report was guided by an essentially common paradigm of method and technique. That is, the classes of features and artifacts recognized by the excavators, the ways of excavating the site and the printed forms used to structure the recording of data were broadly similar.

In order to be useful <u>all</u> the information contained in Moundville's excavation records had to be marshalled into a consistant format. This volume was designed to present in an efficient manner a factual narrative of the excavations at Moundville; that is, to report on the artifacts and features along with their associations and context. Where the excavators recognized ambiguity in the archaeological record, this ambiguity has been reported and no attempt at resolution has been made. However, where ambiguities are artifacts of the records proper, every attempt has been made to resolve them. Gaps in the records have been filled in through field diaries, the

correspondence of the excavators and through the present day memories of the individuals who worked at Moundville in the 1930's.

My work at and on Moundville was made possible by innumerable kindnesses of colleagues, friends and teacners. Although these debts can never be fully repaid, they can pe acknowledged. The dedication of this volume to David, James and Tom DeJarnette is only a small token of my obligation to these men. Elizabeth DeJarnette, who cheerfully and graciously extended truly "Southern" nospitality to Joan Peebles and myself, is the fourth DeJarnette to whom I owe a great debt. Lewis Binford, David DeJarnette, Charles Fairbanks, James B. Griffen, William Sears and Albert Spaulding are in large measure responsible for my knowledge of archaeology and of the Southeast. Joan Peebles, who put aside part of her anthropological career to aid in my research, shares in any credit which accrues to this volume. To these individuals and others to be mentioned below, my thanks.

My interest in moundville began early in 1962 when Lewis Binford suggested that I see what data I could develop on Mississippi Period burial practices from the interior of the Southeast. The data I collected, garnered

mostly from C. B. Moore and Cyrus Thomas, were presented as part of a seminar on prehistoric mortuary practices at the University of Chicago during the winter quarter, 1963. Criticism of this paper by Binford, Carl-Axel Monerg and my fellow students James Brown, William A. Longacre and Robert Whallon demonstrated that a cross-cultural, shotgun approach to mortuary practices was premature.

In January of 1964 I enrolled at the University of Florida to study Southeastern prehistory under Charles Fairbanks. At Fairbanks' suggestion, the ideas I had developed in the Chicago seminar were focused on Moundville and on several sites related to Moundville. I rewrote the paper and sent it to Binford for his comments. A second critique resulted and I rewrote the paper again. It was essentially this version of the paper that was presented at the 65th Annual Meeting of the American Anthropological Association (Peebles 1966).

By this point I was generally dissatisfied with the published material on Moundville, including the then recently published works by Douglas H. McKenzie (1964, 1965a, 1965b, 1966). Fairbanks suggested that I write David DeJarnette, Curator of Mound State Monument and Associate Professor of Anthropology at the University of

Alabama, in order to find out exactly what materials were available at Moundville. Thus began a professional correspondence, friendship and later collaboration between David DeJarnette and myself.

In 1965 I went to the University of California, Santa Barbara to again work under Binford, this time as a doctoral student. My correspondence with DeJarnette continued and plans were laid for me to go to Moundville to gather material for my dissertation. When Binford left the Santa Barbara campus, Albert Spaulding took over direction of my research.

I was admitted to candidacy in 1967 and in the same year was offered a teaching position at Florida Atlantic University by William Sears. Joan and I moved to Boca Raton, Florida in the fall of 1967 and we began commuting to Moundville to work with the records there.

Contrary to implications in McKenzie's dissertation, we discovered tens of thousands of pages of notes and thousands of maps, drawings and photographs. The staggering extent of these records made me realize that I would have to change my initial research strategy. At first it seemed that I would have to choose between unemployment and full-time research at Moundville or

employment and a change in thesis topic.

A solution to the dilemma came from an unexpected quarter. The Xerox Corporation through their representative in Tuscaloosa, Alabama, Charles Giles, provided me with a Xerox copier at no charge. (The machine I was given had just been returned by the Imperial Wizard of the Ku Klux Klan to protest Xerox's sponsorship of the television program Of Black America).

Over the span of two weeks of eighteen-hour days (which included our first wedding anniversary) Joan and I copied the primary records from some one-half million square feet of excavations. In all there were almost one hundred thousand Xerox copies, two tired people, a quantum jump in Mound State Monument's electric bill and one well-used Xerox machine. Without the generosity of Charles Giles and the Xerox Corporation I would not have been able to write this volume.

Joan and I returned to Boca Raton with our copies and I began the process of learning about the excavators and the excavations at Moundville. Each excavation, each set of notes was a challenge. Because no master grid system had been constructed, I had to tie each excavation to local, micro-topographic features. It took me over one year of full-time work to locate and plot these excavations

on a master map of the site. Many artifact and feature numbers were duplicates; a few were missing. Excavation technique, method and style changed through the years of work at Moundville. From 1929 to 1932 (the earliest Alabama Museum of Natural History excavation) the evolution of archaeological expertise took quantum jumps. I had to learn to discriminate each of these changes in archaeological method and technique. Where solutions to these many and varied problems in the record could not be resolved on the basis of "internal" textual evidence, questions were collected and forewarded to David DeJarnette for answers. In almost all cases his memory provided not only answers, but the means of cross-checking his recollections.

During 1967, 1968 and 1969 William Sears alternately assigned the teaching position to Joan and to me. He also allocated a student assistant, Jeanne Adams, to the Moundville project. An N. S. F. Predoctoral Grant (GS-2837) awarded in 1969 also nelped support this work.

by the end of 1970 the first draft of this manuscript had been typed. Dorothea Barton, Susan K. Weinberger and Carole Smith were responsible for the production of the first typed draft.

The analysis of the materials from Moundville began in 1970. The burials and other features were coded and reduced to punched cards. In September 1970 we moved to the University of Windsor and the project moved with us. As computer print out piled up, this manuscript was set aside. Several peripheral articles were published, including an expanded mortuary practices paper, or were read at meetings (Peebles 1970, 1971, 1972a, 1972b, 1973). I had originally planned to use this manuscript as appendices to a final report on the analysis of the features. however, the project and manuscript grew to the point where this manuscript could stand as a monograph in its own right. As such it serves as the data-base for the analytical articles already published as well as for forthcoming monographs on the settlements and their ecological relationships and on burial structure at Moundville.

Throughout the later phases of this project I have benefited from the advice and counsel of James B. Griffen. I appreciate the time and knowledge he has shared with me.

Lastly to the three people who have read this manuscript in its entirety, Joan Peeples, Albert Spaulding

Wate observe of Gulfin

and Henry Wright, my admiration. Also to Kathy M'Closkey, who supervised preparation of the final manuscript, my thanks. To those individuals inadvertently left out of this litany, my apologies and thanks.

Windsor, Ontario December 1973

# CONTENTS

List of	Figur	es		•						•					xiv
List of	Table	S										•			xxiii
Chapter	1								·						1
Chapter	ïi .														36
Chapter	TII.						•	•				•	•		52
Chapter	. VI														219
Chapter	V														381
Chapter	NI.								•						474
Chapter	VII.							•			•				659
Chapter	VIII														738
Chapter	IX .									•					787
Chapter	х														807
Chapter	XI.														1085
Referen	200														1208

# LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

# Chapter I

Figure		Page
I-1. Master Map of Excavations		8
I-2. Aerial Photograph of the Moundville Site		17
Chapter III		
III-1. Red and White Painted Water Bottle, INED		55
III-2. Moundville Filmed Engraved Water Bottle, 10NED.		57
III-3. Scalloped Stone Disc, 1ND		59
III-4. Fragment of a Human Effigy Water Bottle, 6ND		61
III-5. Bat Effigy Bowl, 7SED		63
III-6. Notched Stone Disc, 2SD		64
III-7. Square Step-sided Vessel, 3SD		65
III-8. Warrior Plain Bowl, 6SD		66
III-9. Conch Shell, llSD		67
III-10.Excavators Working South of Mound D, February, 1932		73
III-11. Excavation Plan South of Mound D		74
III-12.Firebasin F-10		76
III-13. Frog Effigy Pipe, 131SD. Associated with Burial 1427		91
III-14.Long-shafted Spud, 264SD. Associated with Burial 1452	• :	103
III-15.Pottery Ladle, 591SD. Associated with Burial 1501	-	126

Figure		Page
III-16.	Burial 1539; Artifacts 813SD-82OSD	.144
III-17.	Bat Effigy Bowl, 816SD. Associated with Burial 1539	.145
III-18.	Bowl, 821SD. Associated with Burial 1542	.147
III-19.	Burial 1544; Artifacts 825SD-828SD	.149
III-20.	Fish Effigy Bowl, 832SD. Associated with Burial 1546	.151
III <del>-</del> 21.	Moundville Filmed Engraved Water Bottle, 836SD. Associated with Burial 1563	.160
III <b>-</b> 22.	Human Effigy Bowl, 847SD. Associated with Burial 1573	.165
III <b>-</b> 23.	Moundville Incised Bowl, 848SD. Associated with Burial 1573	.166
III-24.	Turtle Effigy Bowl, 855SD	.171
III-25.	Frog Effigy Bowl, 2ED	.175
III-26.	Moundville Filmed Incised Bowl, 3ED	.177
III-27.	Plan of Excavation East of Mound D, 1937	.178
III <b>-</b> 28.	Burials 2575, 2582, 2596, 2598, 2600 and 2603	.180
III-29.	Burials 2575, 2577, 2580, 2582, 2598, 2600, 2601 and 2603	.181
III-30.	Burials 2588-2593 and 2604-2606	.182
III-31.	Burials 2580 and 2581. Burial 2580 below 2581.	.187
III-32.	Burial 2585	.190
III-33.	Burial 2599	.198

# CHAPTER IV

Figure			P	age
IV-1.	Moundville Black Filmed ladle, 28E			220
IV-2.	Plan of Excavation North of Mound E			223
IV-3.	Atypical Bowl, Partially Covered with White Pigment, 129NE		•	225
IV-4.	Moundville Filmed Engraved water bottle, 60NE .			226
IV-5.	Square Step-sided Vessel, 67NE			227
IV-6.	Thirty Small Triangular Projectile Points, 23NE. Associated with Burial 74			229
IV-7.	Moundville Filmed Incised Bowl, 53NE.  Associated with Burial 92			230
IV-8.	Moundville Engraved Indented Bowl, 38NE			233
IV-9.	Frog Effigy Bowl, 9NE			234
IV-10.	Fish Effigy Bowl, 4NE			235
IV-11.	Atypical Pot, Moundville Black Filmed, 11NE			236
IV-12.	Moundville Engraved Indented			237
IV-13.	Moundville Engraved Indented Water Bottle, 12NE			238
IV-14.	Atypical Moundville Black Filmed Water Bottle, 1	.51	iΕ	239
IV-15.	Painted Water Bottle, 27NE			240
IV-16.	Moundville Filmed Engraved Water Bottle, 79NE .			241
IV-17.	Moundville Filmed Engraved Water Bottle, 80NE .			242
IV-18.	Atypical Moundville Black Filmed Water Bottle, 81NE			243
IV-19.	Moundville Filmed Engraved Water Bottle, 133NE.	•	• .	244
IV-20.	Human Effigy Water Bottle, 82NE			246

Figure		Page
IV-21.	Square Step-sided Vessel, INE	.247
IV-22.	Human Effigy Pipe, 29NE	.248
IV-23.	Stone Palette, 137NE	.249
IV-24.	Greenstone Ceremonial Axe, 87N£	.250
IV-25.	Shell Spoon, 10NE	.252
IV-26.	Moundville Filmed Engraved Water Bottle, 170NE.  Associated with Burial 1596	.264
IV-27.	Artifacts Associated with Burial 1620 $\underline{\text{In}}$ $\underline{\text{Situ}}$	.274
IV-28.	Plan of Excavation East of Mound E	.294
IV-29.	Moundville Black Filmed Shell Effigy Vessel, 82NE.  Associated with Burial EE1129	.320
	CHAPTER V	
V-1.	Moundville Filmed Engraved Bowl, 3NG	.385
V-2.	Shell Beads, 17NG	.387
V-3.	Moundville Black Filmed Shell Effigy Vessel, 1NG	.389
V-4.	Frog Effigy Bowl, 2NG	.390
V-5.	Moundville Filmed Engraved Water Bottle, 30NG	. 394
V-6.	Oblong Copper Gorget, 22NG	.398
V-7.	Plan of Excavation South and Southwest of Mound G .	.406
	CHAPTER VI	
VI-1.	Plan of Excavation West of Mound P	.477
VI-2.	North Burial Pit, Museum Excavation, West of Mound P	.483

Figure		P	age
VI-3.	South Burial Pit, Museum Excavation, West of Mound P		484
VI-4.	Wall Trench Stain West of Mound P		485
VI-5.	Stone Pipe Found in Ditch West of Mound P		486
VI-6.	Burial 2450		577
VI-7.	Detail of Burial 2450		578
VI-8.	Burial 2549		611
VI-9.	Group of Burials West of Mound P		616
VI-10.	Burials 2569-2573		622
VI-11.	Plan of Excavation West of P'		633
VI-12.	Burial 2148		642
VI-13.	Detail of Burial 2148		643
VI-14.	Burial 2150		645
VI-15.	Burial 2151		647
VI-16.	Burial 2160	•	651
VI-17.	Burial 2161		653
VI-18.	Burials 2165 and 2166		656
	CHAPTER VII		
VII-1.	Location of Excavations in the Area of Mound R.		660
VII-2.	Moundville Filmed Engraved Water Bottle, 13WR, Associated with Burial 17		663
VII-3.	Moundville Filmed Engraved Water Bottle, 10WR, Associated with Burial 17	_	664

$\cdot$	xix
Figure	Page
VII-4. Scalloped Stone Disc. Associated with 5 Different Burials	. 666
VII-5. Moundville Filmed Engraved Water Bottle, 2WR.	. 667
VII-6. Moundville Indented Engraved Water Bottle, Neck Broken Off, 51WR	. 668
VII-7. Atypical Moundville Filmed Incised Bowl, 60WR. Associated with Burial 1045	. 675
VII-8. Human Effigy Bowl, 66WR, Associated with Burial 1049	. 677
VII-9. Moundville Filmed Engraved Water Bottle, 81WR.  Associated with Burial 1065	
VII-10. Moundville Incised Bowl, 7NR	. 693
VII-11.Frog Effigy Water Bottle, 20NR	. 700
VII-12. Moundville Filmed Incised Water Bottle, 25NR. Associated with Burial 1088	. 702
VII-13.Duck Effigy Bowl, 44NR	. 708
VII-14.Bowl, Human Heads Around Rim, 118NR. Associated with Burial 1110	. 713
·	
. CHAPTER VIII	
VIII-1. Plan of Excavations North of Mound "W" and Museum Parking Area	. 739
VIII-2. Detailed Plan of Museum Parking Area Excavation	. 740
VIII-3. Structure S-1, Northwest of Mound "W"	. 762

# CHAPTER IX

Figure			Page
IX-1.	Plan of Excavation, Administration Building .		789
	CHAPTER X		
X-1.	Relationship Between Excavation Blocks and Roadway Route	•	.805
X-2.	Plan of Excavation, Blocks 2+50 to 3+50		.815
X-3.	Plan of Excavation, Block 4+00 to 5+50		.818
X-4.	Firebasin F-20	•	.821
X-5.	Firebasin F-22		.823
X-6.	Firebasin F-28 in the Process of Being Cleaned by Maurice Goldsmith		.836
X-7.	Plan of Excavation, Blocks 12+50, 13+00 and 15+	00	.840
X-8.	Stratigraphic Reconstruction, Block 15+00		.844
X <b>-</b> 9.	Plan of Excavation, Blocks 22+00 to 23+00	•	.857
X-10.	Structure S-9		.859
X-11.	Structure S-9		.860
X-12.	Firebasin F-42		.862
X-13.	Plan of Excavation, Blocks 26+50 to 27+50		.870
X-14.	Plan of Excavation, Blocks 30+00 to 31+00	•	.879
X-15.	Stratigraphic Reconstruction, Squares 30+35 to 30+60		.880
X-16.	Plan of Excavation, Blocks 43+50 to 44+50		.896
X-17.	Firebasin F-71 and F-72	•.	.898
X-18.	Plan of Excavation, Blocks 48+00 and 48+50		.912
X-19.	Stratigraphic Reconstruction, Squares 48+55 to 48+70		.913

	XX1
Figure	Page
X-20. Structure S-16a Outlined in White	.916
X-21. Structure S-16b Partially Outlined in White	.917
X-22. Structure $S-16c$ Outlined in White	.919
X-23. Structures S-16a, S-16b and S-16c	•920 ·
X-24. Structures S-16a, S-16b and S-16c; Firebasin F-6	.922
X-25. Strucutres S-16a, S-16b and S-16c	.925
X-26. Structures S-16a, S16b and S-16c	.926
X-27. Plan of Excavation, Blocks 69+00 to 70+00	· <b>.</b> 946
X-28. Plan of Excavation, Blocks 70+50 to 71+50	.948
X-29. Burials 2660, 2661, 2662, 2663 and 2667	.964
X-30. Burials 2660, 2661, 2662, 2663 and 2667	.965
X-31. Burials 2672 and 2673	.971
X-32. Burial 2681	.976
X-33. Burials 2687, 2688 and 2693	.986
X-34. Burials 2708 to 2715	.990
X-35. Burial 2835	1050
X-36. Burial 2856	1060
X-37. Burial 2893	. 1079
CHAPTER XI	
XI-1. The Rhodes Farm Located on an Aerial Photograph	1086
XI-2. Plan of Excavation, Rhodes Site	. 1090
XI-3. Plan of Excavation, Rhodes Site	. 1091
XI-4. Artifacts Associated with Burials 1927 and 1928 .	. 1114

		xxii
Figure		Page
XI-5.	Artifacts Associated with Burial 1936	1119
XI-6.	Plan of Excavation, Upper Rhodes Site	1147
XI-7.	Upper Rhodes Site, Burial Details	1150
XI-8.	Burial 2023	1168
XI-9.	Burials 2079, 2080 and 2081	1194

Œ

.

# LIST OF TABLES

# CHAPTER III

Table	Page
III-1.	Firebasins South of Mound D
III-2.	Unassociated Artifacts from South of Mound D 79 $$
	CHAPTER IV
IV-1.	Unassociated Artifacts from 1929-1930 Excavations North of Mound E 232
IV-2.	Unassociated Artifacts from 1932 Excavations North of Mound E
IV-3.	Unassociated Artifacts from East of Mound E 295
:	CHAPTER V
V-1.	Unassociated Artifacts Recovered from North of Mound G, 1930, 1934 403
	CHAPTER VI
VI-1.	Unassociated Artifacts Recovered from West of Mound P
	CHAPTER VII
VII-1.	Unassociated Artifacts Recovered from West of Mound R, December, 1930 671
VII-2.	Unassociated Artifacts from Excavation North of Mound R 694
	CHAPTER VIII
VIII-1.	Firebasins from Museum Parking Area Excavations . 744
	vviii

Tâble	
VIII-2.	`Locations of Artifacts Recovered from Museum Parking Area Excavation 746
VIII-3.	Unassociated Artifacts from Northwest of Mound "W"
	TABLE IX
T3/ 3	
IX-1.	Firebasins from Administration Building Excavation
IX-2.	Artifacts and their Location from Administration Building Excavation 793
IX-3.	Unassociated Artifacts from Administration Building Excavation
	building breavation
	TABLE X
X-1.	<u>In Situ</u> Artifacts from Block 1+00 to 1+50 812
X-2.	In Situ Artifacts from Block 3+00 to 3+50 812
X-3.	<u>In Situ</u> Artifacts from Block 3+50 819
x-4.	<u>In</u> <u>Situ</u> Artifacts from Block 4+00 824
X-5.	Stratigraphic Placement of Burials from Block 15+00
X-6.	Unassociated Artifacts from Block 15+00 850
X-7.	Stratigraphic Data from Block 27+00 873
X-8.	In Situ Artifacts from Block 27+00 877
X-9.	In Situ Artifacts from Blocks 30+00 and 30+50 886
X-10.	In Situ Artifacts from Block 38+50 898
X-11.	In Situ Artifacts from Block 43+50 898
X-12.	In Situ Artifacts from Block 44+00 902

•	xxiv	
Table	Page	
X-13.	<u>In Situ</u> Artifacts from Block 44+50 906	
X-14.	In Situ Artifacts from Block 45+00 909	
X-15.	In Situ Artifacts from Block 45+50 909	
X-16.	Stratigraphic Data for Blocks 48+00 to 48+50 914	
X-17.	In Situ Artifacts from Blocks 48+00 and 48+50 933	
X-18.	In Situ Artifacts from Block 49+00 940	
X-19.	In Situ Artifacts from Block 70+00 950	
X-20.	Artifact Group 1	
X-21.	<u>In Situ</u> Artifacts from Block 71+00 950	
X-22.	Artifact Group 2	
X-23.	In Situ Artifacts from Block 71+50 956	
	CHAPTER XI	
	WINT LINE AL	
XI-1.	Firebasins from the Rhodes Site 1093	
XI-2.	Unassociated Artifacts from the Rhodes Site 1094	
XI-3.	<u>In</u> <u>Situ</u> Artifacts from the Rhodes Site 1097	
XI-4.	Firebasins from Upper Rhodes Site	
XI-5.	In <u>Situ</u> Artifacts from the Upper Rhodes Site 1152	